SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

GLEN EIRA CITY COUNCIL ANNUAL REPORT 2020–2021

BENTLEIGH • BENTLEIGH EAST • BRIGHTON EAST • CARNEGIE CAULFIELD • ELSTERNWICK • GARDENVALE • GLEN HUNTLY MCKINNON • MURRUMBEENA • ORMOND • ST KILDA EAST



GLEN EIRA CITY COUNCIL



STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — AQUATIC FACILITIES

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
AQUATIC FACILITIES					
Service standard					
Health inspections of aquatic facilities [Number of authorised officer inspections of Council aquatic facilities/number of Council aquatic facilities]	5.50	4.50	4.50	1.50	Due to the COVID-19 restrictions Glen Eira Leisure facilities were closed and restricted for significant parts of the year which resulted in less access for patrons.
Utilisation					
Utilisation of aquatic facilities [Number of visits to aquatic facilities /municipal population]	10.76	10.85	7.55	2.21	Due to the COVID-19 restrictions Glen Eira Leisure facilities were closed and restricted for significant parts of the year which resulted in less access for patrons.
Service cost					
Cost of aquatic facilities [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income received/ number of visits to aquatic facilities]	-	-	\$3.38	\$12.61	The budgeted income was significantly reduced due to multiple COVID-19 lockdowns and restrictions limiting operating time, capacity, and demand. Expenses were significantly higher due to additional staff required to meet COVID-19 safety protocols, as well as an increase in cleaning and maintenance requirements. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure replaced two previous measures: 'Cost of indoor aquatic facilities' and 'Cost of outdoor aquatic facilities'. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — ANIMAL MANAGEMENT

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments		
ANIMAL MANAGEMENT							
Timeliness							
Time taken to action animal management requests [Number of days between receipt and first response action for all animal management requests/number of animal management requests]	1.45	1.17	1.29	1.75	Time taken to action animal management requests has increased during 2020–21. This was due to a new system being implemented, which created a delay in recategorising animal registration requests to the Animal Management department.		
Service standard							
Animals reclaimed [Number of animals reclaimed/number of animals collected] ×100	61.31%	64.67%	66.25%	73.41%	An increase in domestic animals reclaimed is due to additional training for officers in investigating ownership details then having animals registered and returned. The Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Victoria) (RSPCA) have also improved their return to owner rates from Glen Eira collections.		
Animals rehomed [Number of animals rehomed/number of animals collected] ×100	-	-	20.75%	49.13%	Significant increase in animals rehomed due to Council working closely with the RSPCA and the RSPCA improving their rehoming rates. COVID-19 restrictions has also resulted in an increased desire for residents to become pet owners. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year.		
Service cost							
Cost of animal management service per population [Direct cost of the animal management service/ population]	-	-	\$4.70	\$5.69	Council did not run the animal registration renewal follow up process in 2020 due to the COVID-19 restrictions which resulted in a reduction of animals registered. This resulted in the increase to the cost of animal management service per population. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing previous 'Cost of animal management service' which was based on cost per number of registered animals. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.		
Health and safety							
Animal management prosecutions [Number of successful animal management prosecutions/ number of animal management prosecutions] ×100	-	-	100%	100%	No material variations. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing previous 'Animal management prosecutions' which was a measure of number, not proportion. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.		

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — FOOD SAFETY

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
FOOD SAFETY					
Timeliness					
Time taken to action food complaints	1.49	1.69	1.85	1.97	No material variations.
[Number of days between receipt and first response action for all food complaints/number of food complaints]					
Service standard					
Food safety assessments	104.03%	100%	102.37%	100.24%	No material variations.
[Number of registered class 1 food premises and class 2 food premises that receive an annual food safety assessment in accordance with the <i>Food Act 1984</i> / number of registered class 1 food premises and class 2 food premises that require an annual food safety assessment in accordance with the <i>Food Act 1984</i>]×100					
Service cost					
Cost of food safety service [Direct cost of the food safety service/number of food premises registered or notified in accordance with the <i>Food Act 1984</i>]	\$640.40	\$747.12	\$810.05	\$742.79	No material variations.
Health and safety					
Critical and major non-compliance outcome notifications [Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises followed up/number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises] ×100	100%	96.52%	100%	95.71%	No material variations.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — GOVERNANCE

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
GOVERNANCE					
Transparency					
Council decisions made at meetings closed to the public [Number of Council resolutions made at Ordinary or Special Meetings of Council, or at meetings of a special committee consisting only of Councillors, closed to the public/number of Council resolutions made at Ordinary or Special Meetings of Council or at meetings of a special committee consisting only of Councillors] ×100	9.35%	9.86%	12.81%	4.79%	To improve transparency of Council to the community, many reports previously considered as confidential are now included in the open meeting for the public to hear the debate and discussion however Council may still require attachments to be confidential. This has resulted in a significant decrease in Council decisions made at meetings closed to the public. Confidential reports or attachments include personnel and contractual matters deemed confidential in accordance with the definitions under the <i>Local Government Act 2020</i> .
Consultation and engagement					
Satisfaction with community consultation and engagement	55.00	60.00	60.00	58.00	No material variations.
[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed on community consultation and engagement]					
Attendance					
Councillor attendance at Council Meetings [The sum of the number of Councillors who attended each Ordinary and Special Council Meeting/(number of Ordinary and Special Council meetings) × (number of Councillors elected at the last Council general election)] ×100	88.36%	87.37%	83.07%	91.81%	Councillor attendance at Council meetings has increased demonstrating Councillors ongoing commitment to represent the community by participating in the decision making at Council Meetings.
Service cost					
Cost of elected representation [Direct cost of the governance service/number of Councillors elected at the last Council general election]	\$43,244.78	\$43,359.11	\$43,636.33	\$36,416.78	The decrease of the overall costs for the elected representatives was in part due to the period between the municipal election held on 24 October 2020 and the new Council being sworn in. Councillors participated in professional development conferences and seminars via electronic means of communication due to COVID-19 restrictions, with more courses provided free of cost through this period.
Satisfaction					
Satisfaction with Council decisions [Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed in making decisions in the interest of the community]	55.00	61.00	58.00	59.00	No material variations.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS - LIBRARIES

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
LIBRARIES					
Utilisation					
Physical library collection usage	8.01	7.95	5.62	3.15	COVID-19 restrictions and lockdowns throughout 2020–21 greatly restricted the ability of library customers to visit library branches and borrow physical library items.
[Number of physical library collection item loans/number of physical library collection items]					Note: from 2019–20, this indicator measures the performance of 'physical library items' as a subset of the wider library collection. This measure previously measured 'Library collection usage'. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.
Resource standard					
Recently purchased library collection	72.21%	71.96%	68.98%	66.92%	No material variations.
[Number of library collection items purchased in the last five years/number of library collection items] ×100					
Participation					
Active library borrowers in municipality	16.50%	16.54%	16.03%	13.97%	COVID-19 restrictions and lockdowns throughout 2020–21 greatly restricted the ability of library customers to make use of
[Number of active library borrowers in the last three years/the sum of the population for the last three years] ×100					library services.
Service cost					
Cost of library service per population	-	-	\$26.50	\$23.63	The reduced cost of delivering service is attributed to the library being closed for periods of the year due to COVID-19 lockdowns and restrictions.
[Direct cost of the library service/population]					Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing the previous 'Cost of library service' indicator which measured based on number of library visits. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 202 I	Material variations and comments
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH)					
Service standard					
Infant enrolments in the MCH Service	97.27%	100.93%	100.74%	101.08%	No material variations.
[Number of infants enrolled in the MCH Service (from birth notifications received)/number of birth notifications received] ×100					
Service cost					
Cost of MCH Service	\$77.47	\$77.55	\$82.92	\$84.76	No material variations.
[Cost to Council of the MCH Service/hours worked by MCH nurses]					
Participation					
Participation in the MCH Service	87.11%	81.49%	77.32%	70.96%	COVID-19 restrictions and lockdowns throughout 2020–21 greatly restricted the ability of customers to participate in the Maternal and Child Health Service.
[Number of children who attend the MCH Service at least once (in the year)/number of children enrolled in the MCH Service] ×100					Matemal and Child Health Service.
Participation in the MCH Service by Aboriginal children	92.86%	95.24%	100.00%	85.71%	COVID-19 has impacted on our delivery of maternal and child health service and engagement with Aboriginal and Torres
[Number of Aboriginal children who attend the MCH Service at least once (in the year)/number of Aboriginal children enrolled in the MCH Service] ×100					Strait Islander families. COVID-19 restrictions has meant some of our Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander families have relocated out of our catchment during lockdown.
Satisfaction					
Participation in four-week key age and stage visit	-	-	96.42%	95.38%	No material variations.
[Number of four-week key age and stage visits/number of birth notifications received] ×100					Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing the previous 'Participation in first MCH home visit'. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS - ROADS

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments	
ROADS						
Satisfaction of use						
Sealed local road requests [Number of sealed local road requests/kilometres of sealed local roads] ×100	69.62	63.45	56.22	44.98	There is a decrease in the number of road related requests from 280 to 224 in the 2020–21 period, due to: – all the Railway Level Crossing Removal Project related works were completed in the previous years; – continuous investment on road projects is paying off; – our Asset Management Strategy continues to have a positive impact with requests dropping; and – less travelling due to COVID-19 lockdowns.	
Condition						
Sealed local roads maintained to condition standards	94.17%	92.17%	88.96%	87.35%	No material variations.	
[Number of kilometres of sealed local roads below the renewal intervention level set by Council/kilometres of sealed local roads] ×100						
Service cost						
Cost of sealed local road reconstruction	\$135.11	\$137.86	\$143.70	\$138.93	No material variations.	
[Direct cost of sealed local road reconstruction/square metres of sealed local roads reconstructed]						
Cost of sealed local road resealing	\$19.39	\$20.58	\$24.50	\$26.68	No material variations.	
[Direct cost of sealed local road resealing/square metres of sealed local roads resealed]						
Satisfaction						
Satisfaction with sealed local roads	70.00	70.00	73.00	70.00	No material variations.	
[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed on the condition of sealed local roads]						

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — STATUTORY PLANNING

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
STATUTORY PLANNING					
Timeliness					
Time taken to decide planning applications	89.00	66.00	50.00	64.00	The median timeframe has increased because of the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on service delivery. This included
[The median number of days between receipt of a planning application and a decision on the application]					increasing the number of days Council consulted on planning applications during the more restrictive periods of lockdown, and modifying workstyles so that the team could work from home. We also recognise that the impacts of COVID-19 have been felt by staff within Council. Our processes have been adapted to ensure that the service remains efficient for the customer while also being sustainable for staff during this time.
Service standard					
Planning applications decided within required time frames	57.48%	70.39%	87.71%	87.09%	No material variations.
[(Number of regular planning application decisions made within 60 days) + (Number of VicSmart planning application decisions made within 10 days)/number of planning application decisions made] ×100					
Service cost					
Cost of statutory planning service	\$2,376.77	\$2,695.71	\$3,093.79	\$2,899.11	No material variations.
[Direct cost of the statutory planning service/number of planning applications received]					
Decision-making					
Council planning decisions upheld at VCAT [Number of VCAT decisions that did not set aside Council's decision in relation to a planning application/number of VCAT decisions in relation to planning applications] ×100	57.33%	72.41%	83.33%	58.82%	Glen Eira City Council had a very low number of planning applications (total of 35 applications) that were appealed to the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) and notes that only seven decisions reviewed by the Tribunal in the reporting year set aside the Council decision. While the overall percentage has reduced from the previous year, it is partly the consequence of so few decisions that the Tribunal was required to decide. Council also highlights that 18 of the appeals were settled through mediation, being more than half the appeals lodged with VCAT.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS - WASTE COLLECTION

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 202 I	Material variations and comments			
WASTE COLLECTION								
Satisfaction								
Kerbside bin collection requests	96.12	94.14	94.97	86.87	No material variations.			
[Number of kerbside garbage and recycling bin collection requests/number of kerbside bin collection households] ×1,000								
Service standard	Service standard							
Kerbside collection bins missed	1.21	0.93	2.41	1.51	Glen Eira City Council has continued to work with our kerbside collection contractor to keep the number of missed bins low.			
[Number of kerbside garbage and recycling collection bins missed/number of scheduled kerbside garbage and recycling collection bin lifts] ×10,000								
Service cost								
Cost of kerbside garbage bin collection service	\$109.60	\$105.87	\$106.96	\$108.30	No material variations.			
[Direct cost of the kerbside garbage bin collection service/number of kerbside garbage collection bins]								
Cost of kerbside recyclables collection service	\$19.25	\$37.63	\$55.30	\$67.00	The direct cost of the kerbside collection service has increased due to an increase in the cost of processing recyclables, and increased tonnes due to more people home during the pandemic.			
[Direct cost of the kerbside recyclables bin collection service/number of kerbside recyclables collection bins]								
Waste diversion								
Kerbside collection waste diverted from landfill	44.51%	46.02%	49.24%	49.05%	No material variations.			
[Weight of recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside bins/weight of garbage, recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside bins] ×100								

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — RETIRED MEASURES

RETIRED MEASURES					
SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2018	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Material variations and comments
AQUATIC FACILITIES					
Heath and safety					
Reportable safety incidents at aquatic facilities	12.00	6.00	Retired in	Retired in	As of July I 2020, councils are no longer required to report on this indicator for the Local Government Performance
[Number of WorkSafe reportable aquatic facility safety incidents]			2020	2020	Reporting Framework.
Service cost					
Cost of indoor aquatic facilities	-\$1.72	-\$1.48	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of aquatic facilities' [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income
[Direct cost of indoor aquatic facilities less income received/number of visits to indoor aquatic facilities]			2020	2020	received/number of visits to aquatic facilities].
Cost of outdoor aquatic facilities	\$5.26	\$2.38	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of aquatic facilities' [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income
[Direct cost of outdoor aquatic facilities less income received/number of visits to outdoor aquatic facilities]			2020	2020	received/number of visits to aquatic facilities].
ANIMAL MANAGEMENT					
Service cost					
Cost of animal management service	\$42.89	\$42.62	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from I July 2019 by' Cost of animal management service per population'. [Direct cost of the
[Direct cost of the animal management service/number of registered animals]			2020	2020	animal management service/population].
Health and safety					
Animal management prosecutions	11	14	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Animal management prosecutions'. [Number of successful animal
[Number of successful animal management prosecutions]			2020	2020	management prosecutions/ number of animal management prosecutions] ×100.
LIBRARIES					
Service cost					
Cost of library service	\$6.39	\$6.37	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of library service per population'. [Direct cost of the library service/
[Direct cost of the library service/number of visits]			2020	2020	population].
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH)					
Satisfaction					
Participation in first MCH home visit	102.3%	98.9%	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Participation in four-week Key Age and Stage visit'. [Number of four-week key age
[Number of first MCH home visits/number of birth notifications received] ×100			2020	2020	and stage visits/number of birth notifications received] ×100.